

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/841,413	04/24/2001	Francis P. Barry	640100-424	5476
75	90 02/11/2004		EXAMINER	
Raymond J. Lillie, Esq. c/o Carella, Byrne, Bain, Gilfillan			BARRETT, THOMAS C	
Cecchi, Stewart & Olstein			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
6 Becker Farm Road			3738	
Roseland, NJ 07068			DATE MAIL ED: 02/11/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4						
AT L'ARTH	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/841,413	BARRY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thomas C. Barrett	3738				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 Ja	anuary 200 <u>4</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>28-77</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>28-77</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers	·					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burear * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest since a specific reference was included in the firm 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language profits the foreign language profits acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the foreign language profits acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the	is have been received. Is have been received in Applicate rity documents have been received in Applicate (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). In of the certified copies not receive its priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(set sentence of the specification of the priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 (a)	ion Noed in this National Stage ed. e) (to a provisional application) r in an Application Data Sheet. ceived. and/or 121 since a specific				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3738

DETAILED ACTION

REQUEST FOR CONTINUED EXAMINATION

The request filed on January 9, 2004 for a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 based on parent Application No. 09/841,413 is acceptable and a RCE has been established. An action on the RCE follows.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed January 9, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

As noted in the prior office action, Abatangelo et al. does in fact disclose *injecting* a fluid cell biomatrix comprising a mesenchymal cell suspension (col. 8, lines 20-29).

MPEP 2112.02 states, "when the claim recites using an old composition or structure and the "use" is directed to a result or property of that composition or structure, then the claim is anticipated." Goldberg et al. performs the same method as the claimed present invention, so therefore would inherently provide the same results, i.e. regeneration of meniscal tissue.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Art Unit: 3738

Claims 30, 37, 44, 51 and 58 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter that was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The phrase "sodium hyaluronate" was not described in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

And

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 28-30, 34-37, 41-44, 48-51, 55-58, 62, and 63-77 remains rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Abatangelo et al. Abatangelo et al. discloses injecting a mesenchymal cell suspension with about a concentration of 1 x 10exp7 cells per ml (col. 8, lines 20-37). The cells are within a sodium hyaluronate carrier (col.6, lines 52-59). The suspension can be used for meniscal repair (col. 14, lines 38-54).

Art Unit: 3738

The applied reference has a common inventor with the instant application.

Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Claims 28, 31-33, 35, 38-40, 42, 45-47, 49, 52-54, 56 and 59-61 remains rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Goldberg et al. Goldberg et al. discloses regenerating meniscal tissue (p 4, line33- p 5, line 9) by injecting a suspension of mesenchymal stem cells into the joint space (p 6, lines 1-9).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas C. Barrett whose telephone number is (703) 308-8295. The examiner can normally be reached Tuesday-Friday between 9:00 A.M. and 6:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on (703) 308-2111. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications.

Art Unit: 3738

Page 5

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

Thomas Barrett